# POPULATION GENETICS OF THE CRITICALLY ENDANGERED SPECIES Dipterocarpus littoralis BLUME (DIPTEROCARPACEAE) ENDEMIC IN NUSAKAMBANGAN ISLAND, INDONESIA

FIFI GUS DWIYAN'TI<sup>1</sup>, KO HARADA<sup>2</sup>, ISKANDAR ZULKARNAEN SIREGAR<sup>3</sup> and KOICHI KAMIYA<sup>2\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup> United Graduate School of Agricultural Science, Ehime University, 3-5-7 Tarumi, Matsuyama, Ehime 790-8566, Japan <sup>2</sup>Forest Genetics Laboratory, Faculty of Agriculture, Ehime University, 3-5-7 Tarumi, Matsuyama, Ehime 790-8566, Japan <sup>3</sup>Department of Silviculture, Faculty of Forestry, Bogor Agricultural University (IPB), IPB campus at Dramaga, PO Box 168, Bogor 16680, West Java, Indonesia

Received 21 May 2013/Accepted 27 November 2013

# ABSTRACT

Dipterocarpus littoralis Blume is a critically endangered dipterocarp species found only in Nusakambangan Island, Central Java, Indonesia. Patterns of genetic diversity and population genetic structure of adults and saplings in two extant populations (Kali Jati and Solok Besek) were estimated using ten microsatellite markers. A total of 39 alleles were found, with two and four alleles being unique in adult and sapling populations, respectively. Allelic richness and heterozygosity were similar between adult (Ar = 3.00;  $H_e = 0.423$ ) and sapling (Ar = 3.25;  $H_{e} = 0.441$ ) populations. Inbreeding coefficients in saplings were positive in both populations and statistically significant in Kali Jati, while those in adult populations were not significantly different from zero, indicating excessive inbreeding and selfing in the sapling populations. Genetic differentiation of the sapling populations ( $F_{sr} = 0.036$ ) was slightly lower than in the adult populations (0.050), but only significantly so for saplings. This study revealed that D. littoralis has low genetic diversity in both adults and saplings. Similarly low values in allelic richness and heterozygosity suggest that reductions of population size have been ongoing for long periods in this species. Significant genetic differentiation between sapling populations but not adult populations indicates that recent fragmentation is further accelerating the isolation process.

Keywords: Dipterocarpus littoralis, microsatellite, genetic diversity, genetic differentiation

<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding author : kkamiya@agr.ehime-u.ac.jp

# **INTRODUCTION**

In tropical regions, recent expansion of human populations and their activities have caused rapid loss of forest cover (Hansen *et al.* 2010) and forest degradation (Sasaki & Putz 2009). Degradation of forests may turn common species into rare and endangered. Tropical trees are thought to be particularly vulnerable to the effects of habitat degradation due to their demographic and reproductive characteristics, including low density of occurrence, high rate of outcrossing (Cascante *et al.* 2002, Lowe *et al.* 2005) and intimate interactions with pollinators and seed dispersers (Didham *et al.* 1996, Dick *et al.* 2003, Ward *et al.* 2005). As rare and endangered species are more often vulnerable, efforts to learn more about the ecological and genetic process of extinction are crucial for protecting and managing remnant populations of threatened plant species in altered environments (Furches *et al.* 2009).

A substantial amount of genetic variation within a species guarantees its evolutionary potential under global environmental change, and information about the spatial distribution of such variation is important for formulating effective strategies to maintain maximum genetic variation (Falk & Holsinger 1991, Groom *et al.* 2006). Remnant populations can be predicted to have a greater chance of deterioration in genetic variations, and populations tend to become more strongly isolated by founder effects, random genetic drift and limited biparental gene flow (Templeton *et al.* 1990, Ellstrand & Elam 1993, Young *et al.* 1996). As results, this may lead to reduced population viability and finally extinction (Godt & Hamrick 1998, Thomas *et al.* 2004, Kramer & Havens 2009). Studies of remnant populations have therefore, gained much attention in conservation biology.

*Dipterocarpus littoralis* Blume (locally known as pelahlar) is a member of the Dipterocarpaceae family and endemic to Nusakambangan Island, Central Java, Indonesia (Ashton 1982). This tree species has been critically endangered under subcategory B1+2c, C2a in the Red List of Threatened Species (IUCN) since 1997 and is also included nationally on the list of priority species for the 2008-2018 Indonesia conservation action (Minister of Forestry Decree P.57/Menhut-II/2008). *Dipterocarpus littoralis* is currently restricted to the western part of Nusakambangan Natural Reserve, which has an area of 625 ha (Staatsblad van Nederlandsch-Indie 1937, Abdiyani 2008). The species is often found along rivers because it prefers moist habitats (Silvagama 2000) and grows approximately an altitude of 1-100 m above sea level (Wardani 2006).

Like many other tropical forest species, *D. littoralis* is currently highly vulnerable due to forest destruction and illegal logging increasingly isolating populations from each other (Yulita & Partomihardjo 2011). So far, little is known about the genetic risk of these small remnant patches, although knowledge of genetic variation and population differentiation of *D. littoralis* is important for conservation. To facilitate efforts to conserve the remnant *D. littoralis* populations, this study evaluated genetic variation and population genetic structure in two small remnant patches. Specifically, the study asked these questions: (1) Does *D. littoralis* have lower genetic diversity when compared to common species?; (2) Are there any differences in the extent of genetic

diversity between adult and sapling populations?; (3) Does *D. littoralis* have high genetic differentiation between populations?.

# MATERIALS AND METHODS

#### **Plant Materials**

Sampling of *D. littoralis* populations was conducted in two areas, Kali Jati and Solok Besek, in the western part of Nusakambangan Natural Reserve (Fig. 1). The two populations are separated from each other by a distance of 1.4 km. In each population, all adult trees with diameter at breast height (dbh) > 20 cm were sampled (N = 11 at Kali Jati and N = 7 at Solok Besek). Leaves from 2-5 saplings (1.3 m to 1.6 m tall) under each adult tree were collected. Finally, 53 and 18 saplings were collected in Kali Jati and Solok Besek, respectively. Plant materials were dried with silica gel in the field and stored in a freezer at -80°C. They were subsequently used for DNA extraction.



Figure 1. Location of the two *D. littoralis* populations examined in this study.(a) Map of Indonesia showing that Nusakambangan Island is located in the southern part of Java Island (shown by an arrow). (b) Map of Nusakambangan Island that shows western part of Nusakambangan Natural Reserve location in small frame. (c) Collections sites in western part of Nusakambangan Natural Reserve. Dots show adult sampling sites. The area of Kali Jati is ca. 21 ha, and that of Solok Besek is ca. 18ha.

### **Microsatellite Genotyping**

Silica gel-dried leaves were ground to a fine powder using a Tissue Lyser II (QIAGEN). Total genomic DNA was extracted from each sampled tree using modified CTAB method (Doyle & Doyle 1990). Ten microsatellite loci that had been developed for other dipterocarp species were utilized for this study [DT07, DT09, DT18, DT20, DT29, DT35 and DT39 in Isagi *et al.* (2002), Shc07 in Ujino *et al.* (1998), Tum1406G13 in Ohtani *et al.* (2012), and DL(GT)202 in Terauchi (1994)]. The forward primer of each marker was labeled with either 6-FAM, VIC, NED, or PET phosphoramidite (Applied Biosystems). Details of the markers used in this study are shown in Table 1.

A Type-it Microsatellite PCR kit (QIAGEN) was used for amplification of microsatellite loci. Multiplex PCR amplification was performed in a volume of 10  $\mu$ l, containing 5  $\mu$ l of 2 × Type-it Multiplex PCR Master mix, 1  $\mu$ l primer mix (2 $\mu$ M each), 3  $\mu$ lRNase-free water and 1  $\mu$ l genomic DNA (~40 ng), using a thermal cycler (Applied Biosystems 2720) under the following conditions: initial denaturing at 95°C for 5 min, then 31 cycles of denaturing at 95°C for 30 s, annealing for 1 min 30 s and extension at 72°C for 30 s, followed by a final incubation at 60°C for 30 min. Annealing temperatures were 49°C for Shc07 54°C for Tum1406G13 and DL(GT)202 and 58°C for DT07, DT09, DT18, DT20, DT29, DT35 and DT39. Fragment sizes were scored using an ABI PRISM<sup>TM</sup> 310 Genetic Analyzer (Applied Biosystems) and visualized using GeneMapper 3.0 software (Applied Biosystems).

#### Data analysis

Basic statistics of genetic diversity, including number of alleles per locus (Na), observed heterozygosity ( $H_o$ ), expected heterozygosity ( $H_o$ ), fixation index (F) and significant deviation from HWE, were calculated using GenAlEx software version 6.41 (Peakall & Smouse 2006). Allelic richness (Ar) was calculated using FSTAT Version 2.9.3.2 (Goudet 1995, 2001). Pairwise  $F_{sr}$  was calculated in adults and saplings to determine the level of population differentiation using ARLEQUIN Version 3.5.1.2 (Excoffier & Lischer 2010).

# **RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS**

#### Low Genetic Diversity in D. littoralis

This study demonstrated that seven of the primer pairs developed for *Dipterocarpus* tempehes, one developed for *Shorea curtisii*, one developed for *Shorea leprosula* and one developed for *Dryobalanops lanceolata* could be successfully used to estimate the genetic variation for *D. littoralis*. Primer information and the results of genetic analysis are summarized in Table 1. Very small number of alleles (two to six) and associated low level of heterozygosity were detected in all microsatellite loci.

The primer pairs developed by Isagi et al. (2002) for D. tempehes were also successfully used for the species D. crinitus and D. globosus (Harata et al. 2012),

Table 1. P.	Table 1. Primer information for 10 microsatellite loci used in this study	rmation 1	for 10 mi	crosatell	ite loci ι	nsed in	this study	V							
Locus		Length (bp)	(dq)	Ar tem	Annealing temperature		Numbe	Number of alleles	es	He	F	LWH	HWE test	R	Ref.
DT07		75-85	5		58 °C			3		0.235	-0.053		ns		1
DT09		155 - 193	93		58 °C			7		0.811	0.045		ns		1
DT 18		134 - 139	39		58 °C			2		0.033	-0.017		ns		1
DT20		234 -238	38		58 °C			2		0.342	-0.084		ns		1
DT29		215-227	27		58 °C			5		0.655	0.262	*	***		1
DT35		357 -369	69		58 °C			5		0.663	0.170		ns		1
DT39		172 -190	90		58 °C			8		0.681	0.076		ns		1
Shc 07		155 - 161	61		49 °C			3		0.616	-0.058		ns		2
Tum1406G13	G13	350 - 364	64		$54  ^{\circ}\mathrm{C}$			2		0.383	0.062		ns		
DL(GT)202	202	205-217	17		$54  ^{\circ}\mathrm{C}$			2		0.145	0.070		ns		4
Number of samples Number of alleles	ImuN	Number of samples	ples	12001	Numbe	Number of alleles	es es	000		H				Ц	
,	TTTDLT		0000										7	je	
Locus D. erii	D. D. crinitus globosus		D. D. littoralis tempehes	D. crinitus	D. globosus		D. D. littoralis tempehes	D. crinitus	D. globosus		D. D. littoralis tempehes	D. crinitus	D. globosus	D. D. D. globosus littoralis tempebes	D. tempehes
DT07 2	23 -	18	34	3	ı	2	10	0.522	ı	0.278	0.727	0.567	Ţ	0.239	0.834
DT09 2	23 289	18	34	6	49	9	7	0.609	0.903	0.889	0.667	0.688	0.926	0.807	0.762
	- 289	18	34	ı	26	2	14	I	0.830	0.056	0.485	I	0.921	0.054	0.807
		18	34	9	14	2	13	0.870	0.619	0.444	0.818	0.768	0.691	0.401	0.836
	23 289	18	34	10	29	5	8	0.870	0.678	0.444	0.455	0.864	0.725	0.613	0.565
DT35	- 289	18	34	,	30	5	11	·	0.896	0.556	0.848	ŀ	0.928	0.664	0.846
DT39 2	23 289	18	34	11	24	9	11	0.957	0.858	0.722	0.848	0.878	0.867	0.556	0.862
Average Total				7.8 39	28.7 172	4 28	10.6 74	0.766	0.797	0.484	0.693	0.753	0.843	0.476	0.787
* D. crinitus and D. globosus from Harata et al. (2012); D. littoralis, this study; D. tempebes from Isagi et al. (2002)	nd D. globosu.	ر from Har	ata <i>et al.</i> (20	12); D. litte	<i>valis</i> , this	study; D.	tempehes fro	om Isagi <i>et</i>	t al. (2002						

#### BIOTROPIA Vol. 21 No. 1, 2014

suggesting that sequences of flanking regions of these microsatellite regions are wellconserved across many dipterocarp species (Ng *et al.* 2004). The genetic variation found in *D. littoralis* was compared with that of other congeneric species, which are studied using the same primer pairs (Table 2). Results showed that genetic variation in *D. littoralis* was much lower than the other species. The average number of alleles per locus as observed and expected heterozygosities were low in *D. littoralis*. Although the number of alleles increased with sample size, the observed number of alleles in *D. littoralis* populations seems to be extremely small in most of the loci. It is possible that this is due to the presence of null alleles. Presence of null alleles will increase the number of individuals with apparent homozygotes, and samples with no amplification will be observed if these are null homozygotes. However, we successfully amplified microsatellite regions for all samples. Except for DT29, no deviation from HWE was detected at any studied locus (Table 1). Therefore, presence of null alleles could not explain the low level of microsatellite variability across loci in *D. littoralis*.

Our study also showed that allelic diversity and heterozygosity in *D. littoralis* was lower in comparison with other genera of Dipterocarpaceae, such as *Neobalanocarpus heimii* (Konuma *et al.* 2000), *Shorea curtisii* (Ujino *et al.* 1998, Obayashi *et al.* 2002, Ng *et al.* 2006, Harata *et al.* 2012), *S. leprosula* (Nagamitsu *et al.* 2001, Ng *et al.* 2004, Fukue *et al.* 2007), *S. lumutensis* (Lee *et al.* 2006), *S. macroptera* (Ng *et al.* 2006), and *S. ovalis* (Ng *et al.* 2004). Comparatively low microsatellite variation has been found in the endemic dipterocarp species *S. javanica* in Sumatra, Indonesia (Rachmat *et al.* 2012). The low level of genetic diversity in *D. littoralis* corresponds to a pattern often found in endangered endemic plants (Gitzendanner & Soltis 2000). This pattern seems to be not always holding, however, at least in some dipterocarp species. For example, there were no notable differences in heterozygosity among rare and common dipterocarp species in Northern Borneo (Harata *et al.*2012), and a surprisingly large amount of genetic variation was found in the endemic and rare species *S. lumutensis* (Lee *et al.* 2006).

#### **Comparison between Adult and Sapling Populations**

In this study a total of 39 alleles were detected across 10 microsatellite loci, and 35 and 37 alleles were found in adults and saplings, respectively. Thirty-three alleles were found in both populations, while 2 were unique in adults and 4 were unique in saplings. Unique alleles found in saplings may be derived from absent adult trees, which were lost through logging or other causes. It is also possible that we were unable to make a complete inventory of all remaining adult trees in this area.

No difference between Kali Jati and Solok Besek populations was observed in levels of genetic variation in terms of number of alleles, allelic richness, and heterozygosity, within either adults or saplings or between adults and saplings for each population (Table 3). Previous population genetic studies of *Shorea leprosula* (Lee *et al.* 2000), *Primula vulgaris* (Van Geert *et al.* 2008), *Prunus africana* (Farwig *et al.* 2008), and *Vateriopsis seychellarum* (Finger *et al.* 2012) showed that the level of genetic variation was higher in adults than in saplings. This is possibly due to limited pollen and seed dispersal, as in many dipterocarp species (e.g. Osada *et al.* 2001, Kettle *et al.* 

Population	Ν	Na	Ar	Но	Нe	F
Adults						
Kali Jati	11	2.90	2.71	0.382	0.393	-0.005 <sup>ns</sup>
Solok Besek	7	3.30	3.30	0.586	0.454	-0.248 <sup>ns</sup>
Grand mean	9	3.10	3.00	0.484	0.423	-0.133
Saplings						
Kali Jati	53	3.70	3.31	0.415	0.456	0.068 **
Solok Besek	18	3.20	3.20	0.411	0.426	0.004 <sup>ns</sup>
Grand mean	36	3.45	3.25	0.413	0.441	0.038

Table 3. Summary of genetic variation in adult and sapling populations

Number of samples (*N*), mean number of alleles per population (*N*a), allelic richness (*A*r), mean observed heterozygosity (*H*<sub>o</sub>), Nei's mean expected heterozygosity (*H*<sub>o</sub>), and mean Fixation index (*F*) for the ten loci in two populations for adults and saplings of *D. littoralis* 

2011, Finger *et al.* 2012). The equivalent level of genetic variation in adults and saplings may be explained by the limited number of samplings, even though all known remaining adults in this area were collected.

The inbreeding coefficient F was higher in saplings than in adults (Table 3). Values in adults were negative, but not significantly different from zero in both Kali Jati and Solok Besek, indicating no deviation from Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium. In contrast, values in sapling populations were both positive and significantly different from zero in Kali Jati (P < 0.05), suggesting frequent inbreeding and self-fertilization (Table 3). Relatively high inbreeding coefficients in seedling and sapling stages compared to adult stages have been found in many studies (e.g. Lee *et al.* 2000, Michalski & Durka 2007, Van Geert *et al.* 2008, Farwig *et al.* 2008), which is not surprising given that heterosis and inbreeding depression result in higher survival rates of heterozygotes (Alvarez-Buylla *et al.* 1996). However, since we collected 2-5 saplings aggregated around each mother trees, it is possible that positive values of F could be the result of collecting the cohort of half sibs and selfed progenies.

A small but significant  $F_{st}$  (0.036, permutation test P < 0.05) was found across all loci between Kali Jati and Solok Besek sapling populations. The value for the adult populations ( $F_{st} = 0.050$ ) was however, not significant. The significant genetic differentiation in the sapling stage implies that gene dispersal has been comparatively limited between the two currently fragmented populations, since known pollinators (including thrips, beetles and honey bees) are not thought to cover large distances (Chan & Appanah 1980, Momose *et al.* 1998, Sakai *et al.* 1999a). No genetic differentiation between adult populations suggests that these two populations formerly formed parts of one panmictic population. Overlapping generations in adult populations also lead to little or no genetic differentiation among populations (Kalisz *et al.* 2001, Chung *et al.* 2003, Jones & Hubbell 2006, Van Geert *et al.* 2008). Many dipterocarp species only flower every 2-10 years in immense synchronized flowerings across diverse plant families called general flowerings (Sakai 2002). However, even in the general flowering period not all conspecifics flower together, with flowering trees probably not exceeding 50% of the total (Sakai *et al.* 1999b). If this is also the case for *D. littoralis* in this region, effective population size of an age class may be much smaller than its actual size and could have accelerated the reduction of genetic variation in the sapling stage.

#### Implications for Conservation and Management

The pattern of population structure in *D. littoralis* has important conservation implications. For *D. littoralis*, the low genetic diversity associated with declining populations and the significance of genetic differentiation in sapling populations have been a consequence of geographical and topological isolation, limited gene flow, and habitat fragmentation. We propose that efforts toward conservation management should be aimed at preserving and increasing the size of current populations. Considering the significant genetic differentiation may populations present in sapling populations, extinction of any population may lead to a considerable loss of genetic variation. Thus, management schemes should involve all populations simultaneously.

The outcome of our study indicates urgency for both *in situ* and *ex situ* conservation. For *D. littoralis*, the latter approach must entail the collection from representative samples of individuals from all populations to ensure the availability of genetic resources for future use in programs of reintroduction or reinforcement. Like other dipterocarp species, *D. littoralis* seeds are recalcitrant and cannot easily be stored in conventional seed banks. *Ex situ* conservation will thus only be achieved through nursery grown seedling banks. Furthermore, new population should be also established at strategic locations on the Nusakambangan Island by transplanting seedlings taken from a mixture of the two extant populations. Such locations should consider the ecological context of the relatively short gene dispersal distances (mostly <50 m) and should thus be located close to and between remaining populations to enhance connectivity of existing populations (Finger *et al.* 2012).

Finally, further investigations should concentrate on life history characteristics, mechanisms for pollen/seed dispersal, seed germination, vegetative propagation and impacts from herbivores and pathogens. Those studies will be a principal step toward deepening our understanding of the causes for rarity when devising suitable conservation guidelines.

# **CONCLUSIONS**

This study revealed that *D. littoralis* has low genetic diversity in both adults and saplings. Similarly low values in allele richness and heterozygosity suggest that reductions of population size have been ongoing for long periods in this species. Significant genetic differentiation between sapling populations but not in adult

populations indicates that fragmentation is further accelerating the isolation process. This knowledge is essential for developing management strategies for conservation of this rare endemic dipterocarp species.

# ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors thank Mr. Mirza Zulkarnain, Head of Lapas Batu Nusakambangan, for permission to enter Nusakambangan Island and collect experimental leaf material; Central Java Natural Resource Conservation Agency (BKSDA Jawa Tengah) for permission to collect samples and conduct research in the western part of Nusakambangan Natural Reserve; and Mr. Arifianto Teguh, Cilacap Natural Resource Conservation Agency, (BKSDA Cilacap), for his assistance during sampling in the same area. This study was financially supported by the Global Environment Research Fund (D-0901) of the Ministry of the Environment, Japan to Ko Harada.

# REFERENCES

- Abdiyani S. 2008. Evaluation of vegetation diversity in the reforestation program in Nusakambangan Island.Info Hutan V-3:209-217.
- Alvarez-Buylla ER, Chaos A, Pinero D, Garay AA. 1996. Demographic genetics of a pioneer tree species: patch dynamics, seed dispersal, and seed banks. Evolution 50(3): 1155-1166.
- Ashton PS. 1982. Dipterocarpaceae. Flora MalesianaI-9: 237-552.
- Cascante A, Quesada M, Lobo JA, Fuchs EJ. 2002. Effects of dry tropical forest fragmentation on the reproductive success and genetic structure of the tree *Samanea saman*. Conservation Biology 16(1): 137-147.
- Chan HT, Appanah S. 1980. Reproductive biology of some Malaysian dipterocarps. Malaysian Forester 44: 28-36.
- Chung MY, Nason JD, Epperson BK, Chung MG. 2003. Temporal aspects of the fine-scale genetic structure in a population of *Cinnamonum insularimontanum* (Lauraceae). Heredity 90(1): 98-106.
- Dick CW,Etchelecu G, Austerlitz F. 2003.Pollen dispersal of tropical trees (*Dinizia excels*: Fabaceae) by native insects and African honeybees in pristine and fragmented Amazonian rainforest.Molecular Ecology 12: 753-764.
- Didham RK, Ghazoul J, Stork NE, Davis AJ. 1996. Insects in fragmented forests: a functional approach. Trends in Ecology and Evolution 11: 255-260.
- Doyle JJ, Doyle JL.1990. Isolation of plant DNA from fresh tissue. Focus 12: 13-15.
- Ellstrand NC, Elam DR. 1993. Population genetic consequences of small population size: Implications for plant conservation. Annual Review of Ecology and Systematics 24: 217-242.
- Excoffier L, Lischer HEL. 2010. Arlequin suite ver. 3.5: A new series of programs to perform population genetics analyses under Linux and Windows. Molecular Ecology Resources 10:564-567.
- Falk DA, Holsinger KE. 1991. Genetics and Conservation of Rare Plants. Oxford, UK: Oxford University Press.
- Farwig N, Braun C, Böhning-Gaese K. 2008. Human disturbance reduces genetic diversity of an endangered tropical tree, *Prunus africana* (Rosaceae). Conservation Genetics 9: 317-326.
- Finger A, Kettle CJ, Kaiser-Bunbury CN, Valentin T, Mougal J, Ghazoul J. 2012. Forest fragmentation genetics in a formerly widespread island endemic tree: *Vateriopsis seychellarum* (Dipterocarpaceae). Molecular Ecology 21(10): 2369-2382.

#### BIOTROPIA Vol. 21 No. 1, 2014

- Fukue Y, Kado T, Lee SL, Ng KKS, Muhammad N, Tsumura Y. 2007. Effects of flowering tree density on the mating system and gene flow in *Shorea leprosula* (Dipterocarpaceae) in Peninsular Malaysia. Journal of Plant Research 120(3): 413-420.
- Furches MS, Wallace LE, Helenurm K. 2009. High genetic divergence characterizes populations of the endemic plant *Lithophragma maximum* (Saxifragaceae) on San Clemente Island. Conservation Genetics 10: 115-126.
- Gitzendanner MA, Soltis PS. 2000. Patterns of genetic variation in rare and endangered widespread plant congeners. American Journal of Botany 87(6):783-792.
- Godt MJW, Hamrick JL. 1998. Allozyme diversity in the endangered pitcher plant *Sarracenia rubra* ssp. *alabamensis* (Sarraceniaceae) and its close relative *S. rubra* ssp. *rubra*. American Journal Botany 85(6): 802-810.
- Goudet J. 1995. FSTAT (version 1.2): a computer program to calculate F-statistic. Journal of Heredity 86(6): 485-486.
- Goudet J. 2001. FSTAT, a program to estimate and test gene diversities and fixation indices Version 2.9.3.http://www.unil.ch/izea/softwares/fstat.html Updated from Goudet (1995).
- Groom MJ, Meffe GK, Carroll CR. 2006. Principles of Conservation Biology, 3<sup>rd</sup> ed. Sinauer Associates. Sunderland, MA, USA.
- Hansen MC, Stehman SV, Potapov PV. 2010. Quantification of global gross forest cover loss. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America 107(19): 8650-8655.
- Harata T, Nanami S, Yamakura T, Matsuyama S, Chong L, Diway BM, Tan S, Itoh A. 2012. Fine-scale spatial genetic structure of ten dipterocarp tree species in a Bornean rain forest. Biotropica 44(5): 586-594.
- Isagi Y, Kenta T, Nakashizuka T. 2002. Microsatelite loci for a tropical emergent tree, *Dipterocarpus tempehes* V. SI. (Dipterocarpaceae). Molecular Ecology Notes 2: 12-13.
- Jones FA, Hubbell SP. 2006. Demographic spatial genetic structure of the Neotropical tree, *Jacaranda copaia*. Molecular Ecology 15:3205-3217.
- Kalisz S, Nason JD, Hanzawa FM, Tonsor SJ. 2001. Spatial population genetic structure in *Trillium grandiflorum*: the roles of dispersal, mating, history, and selection. Evolution 55(8): 1560-1568.
- Kettle CJ, Maycook CR, Ghazoul J, Hollingsworth PM, Khoo E, Sukri RSH, Burslem DFRP. 2011. Ecological implications of a flower size/number trade-off in tropical forest trees. PLoS one 6(2): e16111.
- Konuma A, Tsumura Y, Lee CT, Lee SL, Okuda T. 2000. Estimation of gene flow in the tropical-rainforest tree *Neobalanocarpus beimii* (Dipterocarpaceae), inferred from paternity analysis. Molecular Ecology 9: 1843-1852.
- Kramer AT, Havens K. 2009.Plant conservation genetics in a changing world. Trends in Plant Science 14(11): 599-607.
- Lee SL, Wickneswari R, Mahani MC, Zakri AH. 2000. Genetic diversity of a tropical tree species, *Shorea leprosula* Miq. (Dipterocarpaceae), in Malaysia: implications for conservation of genetic resources and tree improvement. Biotropica 32(2): 213-224.
- Lee SL, Ng KKS, Saw LG, Lee CT, Muhammad N, Tani N, Tsumura Y, Koskela J. 2006. Linking the gaps between conservation research and conservation management of rare dipterocarps: a case study of *Shorea lumutensis*. Biological Conservation 131(1): 72-92.
- Lowe AJ, Boshier D, Ward M, Bacles CFE, Navarro C. 2005. Genetic resource impacts of habitat loss and degradation; reconciling empirical evidence and predicted theory for neotropical trees. Heredity 95: 255-273.
- Michalski SG, Durka W. 2007. High selfing and high inbreeding depression in peripheral populations of Juncus attratus. Molecular Ecology 16(22): 4715-4727.
- Momose K, Yumoto T, Nagamitsu T, Kato M, Nagamasu H, Sakai S, Harrison RD, Itioka T, Hamid AA, Inoue T. 1998. Pollination biology in a lowland dipterocarp forest in Sarawak, Malaysia. I. characteristics of the plant-pollinator community in a lowland dipterocarp forest. American Journal of Botany 85(10): 1477-1501.

- Nagamitsu T, Ichilkawa S, Ozawa M, Shimamura R, Kachi N, Tsumura Y, Muhammad N. 2001. Microsatellite analysis of the breeding system and seed dispersal in *Shorea leprosula* (Dipterocarpaceae). International Journal of Plant Sciences 162(1): 155-159.
- Ng KKS, Lee SL, Koh CL. 2004. Spatial structure and genetic diversity of two tropical tree species with contrasting breeding systems and different ploidy levels. Molecular Ecology 13(3): 657-669.
- Ng KKS, Lee SL, Saw LG, Plotkin JB, Koh CL. 2006. Spatial structure and genetic diversity of three tropical tree species with different habitat preferences within a natural forest. Tree Genetics and Genomes 2: 121-131.
- Obayashi K, Tsumura Y, Ihara-Ujino T, Niiyama K, Tanouchi H, Suyama Y, Washitani I, Lee CT, Lee SL, Muhammad N. 2002. Genetic diversity and outcrossing rate between undisturbed and selective logged forests of *Shorea curtisii* (Dipterocarpaceae) using microsatellite DNA analysis. International Journal of Plant Sciences 163(1): 151-158.
- Ohtani M, Ueno S, Tani N, Lee LS, Tsumura Y. 2012. Twenty-four additional microsatellite markers derived from expressed sequence tags of the endangered tropical tree *Shorea leprosula* (Dipterocarpaceae). Conservation Genetic Resource 4: 351-354.
- Osada N, Takeda H, Furukawa A, Awang M. 2001. Fruit dispersal of two dipterocarp species in Malaysian rain forest. Journal of Tropical Ecology 17(6): 911-917.
- Peakall R, Smouse PE.2006. GENALEX 6: genetic analysis in Excel. Population genetic software for teaching and research.Molecular Ecology Notes 6(1): 288-295.
- Rachmat HH, Kamiya K, Harada K. 2012. Genetic diversity, population structure and conservation implication of the endemic Sumatran lowland dipterocarp tree species (*Shorea javanica*). International Journal of Biodiversity and Conservation 4(14): 573-583.
- Sakai S, Momose K, Yumoto T, Kato M, Inoue T. 1999a. Beetle pollination of *Shorea parvifolia* (section *Mutica*, Dipterocarpaceae) in a general flowering period in Sarawak, Malaysia. American Journal of Botany 86(1): 62-69.
- Sakai S, Momose K, Yumoto T, Nagamitsu T, Nagamasu H, Hamid AA, Nakashizuka T. 1999b. Plant reproductive phenology over four years including an episode of general flowering in a lowland dipterocarp forest, Sarawak, Malaysia. American Journal of Botany 86(10): 1414-1436.
- Sakai S. 2002. General flowering in lowland mixed dipterocarp forests of South-east Asia. Biological Journal of the Linnean Society 75(2): 233-247.
- Sasaki N, Putz FE. 2009. Critical need for new definitions of "forest" and "forest degradation" in global climate change agreements. Conservation Letters 2(5): 226-232.
- Silvagama M. 2000. Deskripsi kondisi actual hutan dataran rendah dan prospek domestikasi Pelalar Jawa, Cagar Alam Nusakambangan Barat, Kabupaten Cilacap. Presentasi hasil eksplorasi ilmiah Nusakambangan, Yogyakarta.
- Staatsblad van Nederlandsch-Indie No. 369 of 1937 about Natural Monuments of Central Java (translation).
- Templeton AR, Shaw K, Routman E, Davis SK. 1990.The genetic consequences of habitat fragmentation. Annals of the Missouri Botanical Garden 77(1): 13-27.
- Terauchi R. 1994. A polymorphic microsatellite marker from the tropical tree *Dryobalanops lanceolata* (Dipterocarpaceae). Japanese Journal of Genetics 69(5): 567-576.
- Thomas CD, Cameron A, Green RE, Bakkenes M, Beaumont LJ, Collingham YC, Erasmus BFN, de Siqueira MF, Grainger A, Hannah L, Hughes L, Huntley B, van Jaarsveld AS, Midgley GF, Miles L, Ortega-Huerta MA, Peterson AT, Phillips OL, Williams SE. 2004. Extinction risk from climate change. Nature 427: 145-148.
- Ujino T, Kawahara T, Tsumura Y, Nagamitsu T, Yoshimaru H, Wickneswari R. 1998. Development and polymorphism of simple sequence repeat DNA markers for *Shorea curtisii* and other Dipterocarpaceae species. Heredity 81: 422-428.
- Van Geert A, Van Rossum F, Triest L. 2008. Genetic diversity in adult and seedling populations of *Primula vulgaris* in a fragmented agricultural landscape. Conservation Genetics 9:845-853.

#### BIOTROPIA Vol. 21 No. 1, 2014

- Ward M, Dick CW, Gribel R, Lowe AJ. 2005. To self, or not to self... A review of outcrossing and pollen-mediated gene flow in neotropical trees. Heredity 95(4): 246-254.
- Wardani M. 2006. Jenis-jenis Dipterocarpaceae di Jawa Tengah, Konservasi dan pengembangannya. *In* : Makalah Penunjang pada Ekspose Hasil-hasil Penelitian: Konservasi dan Rehabilitasi Sumberdaya Hutan. 20 September 2006; Padang, p: 261-271.
- Young A, Boyle T, Brown T. 1996. The population genetic consequences of habitat fragmentation for plants. Trends in Ecology and Evolution 11(10): 413-418.
- Yulita KS, Partmihardjo T. 2011. Population genetic diversity of Pelahlar (*Dipterocarpus littoralis* (Bl.) Kurz) in Nusakambangan Island based on enhanced random amplified polymorphic DNA. BeritaBiologi 10(4): 541-548.