ANTIBREAST CANCER ACTIVITY OF NANOPROPOLIS INDONESIA ON INDUCED MAMMARY GLAND TUMOR BY DMBA IN VIRGIN SPRAGUE-DAWLEY RATS
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ABSTRACT

The objective of this study was to determine the effect of nanopropolis to cure cancer induced on rat mammary tumor using 7,12-dimethylbenz(a)anthracene (DMBA). After the first tumors appearance, twenty eight rats were divided into seven groups. Group 1, 2 and 3 served as recipient of nanopropolis dosages 8 µg/mL; Group 4 as propolis dosage 233 µg/mL; Group 5 as doxorubicin treatment; Group 6 as DMBA and Group 7 as normal group (control). The effect of nanopropolis dosage of 32 µg/mL and propolis dosage of 233 µg/mL were similar in reducing tumor size, healing the wounds caused by the tumor and eliminating cancer cells. It turns out that there is a relationship between particle size absorbent material. The study suggested that nanopropolis was very effective to treat rat mammary gland tumors and breast cancers.
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INTRODUCTION

Cancer is one of the leading causes of death in the world, especially in developed countries and the second killer in developing countries. Based on data from the Hospital Information System (SIRS) in 2007 until 2013, breast cancer ranks first in hospitalized patients in all hospitals in Indonesia (16.85%), followed by cervical cancer (11.78%) (Ministry of Health 2013). In accordance with opinion of Muir et al. (2003), the cause of this disease are a cover of estrogen-dependent pathway, circulating androgen, estrogen and exposure to carcinogenic materials such as smoke, ultraviolet radiation, improper diet and stress; except for age and race that cannot be changed (Bates American Cancer Society 2016). In the early stage, breast cancer is only in the form of a lump in the mammary tissue and in an advanced stage resulting an injury to the mammary tissue. The ways to treat this disease are the removal of tissue, radiation therapy and chemotherapy. Radiation therapy and chemotherapy are aimed to destroy cancer cells and control the disease so the main tumor will be shrunk, tumor growth will be slowed and spread of cancer cells to other tissues will be prevented.

Nowadays, there have been a lot of research to obtain cancer medications, either directly for the treatment of cancer or to reduce the side effects of chemotherapy. The use of medications such as doxorubicin is one mean to inhibit cancer growth and reduce the occurrence of new cancers. However, the negative impact of doxorubicin treatment is the occurrence of hair loss, heart rhythm disorders and decreased white blood cell count. There is a challenge to find cancer treatment which is effective and minimize bad effects of the main treatment. One effort is to
find and develop medication from herbs and to do clinical test on herbal medication. Medication originated from herbs must be tested through a series of studies prior to its usage in cancer therapy. The tests should not involve animals. Abbasalipourkabir et al. (2010) and Purushothaman et al. (2012) investigated the incidence of breast cancer using virgin rats of Sprague-Dawley strain as test animals. In-vitro studies showed that the origin of propolis from five locations in Indonesia and nanopropolis from Pandeglang were able to inhibit the growth of MCF-7 cell line. The nanopropolis inhibited the growth of MCF-7 cells at very low concentrations compared to propolis instead of nanoparticles (Hasan et al. 2014). The purpose of this study was to examine the in-vivo antibreastcancer activity of nanopropolis on the DMBA induced of virgin Sprague-Dawley rats.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Preparation of Nanopropolis**

Nanopropolis were prepared in three stages of homogenization using high speed homogenizer. Some modifications were done for preparing of nanopropolis particle as previously mentioned by Aimi et al. (2009), Bhaskar et al. (2009), Hasan et al. (2012), Chen et al. (2006) and Kim et al. (2008).

**Mammary Tumor Induction**

Twenty eight rats were intraperitoneally injected using a mixture of DMBA (with concentration of 25 mg/kg body weight), olive oil and physiological saline.

**Experimental Design**

After the first tumors appearance, twenty eight rats were divided into seven groups, with three animals for each group. Group 1, 2 and 3 served as recipient of nanopropolis doses of 8, 32 and 56 µg/mL treatments; Group 4 served as recipient of propolis dosage of 233 µg/mL treatment; Group 5 served as recipient of doxorubicin treatment; Group 6 served as recipient of DMBA treatment; and Group 7 as a normal group (control).

**Tumor**

Two dimensional tumor areas were calculated as an ellipse (Abbasalipourkabir et al. 2010). The volume of tumor was calculated by the formula of:

\[ V = \frac{ab^2}{2} \]

where \( a \) = the longest diameter

\( b \) = the shortest diameter of tumor

At sacrifice, the tumor were removed for histopathological examination.

**Histopathology Analysis**

After euthanasia, at the end of the study, the mammary tumor masses of rats were removed. Sample of tissues were fixed immediately in 10% formalin overnight, embedded in paraffin, cut into 4 µm sections and stained with hematoxylin-eosin (HE).

**RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

The results of body weight rats measurements after injection and treatment were presented in Figure 1. As seen in Figure 1, normal rat body weight continued to increase with time addition, as well as other treatments. The DMBA treatment showed a decrease in body weight. According to Cordeiro and Kaliwal (2011), effect of DMBA can reduce body weight of rat caused by the nature of its toxicity despite an increase in tumor volume.

Tumor progress can be determined by measuring the volume of test animal tumor (Abbasalipourkabir et al. 2010; Purushothaman et al. 2012; Martic et al. 2011). In the study of Abbasalipourkabir et al. (2010), tumor volume was calculated by measuring the length and width of the swelling, while Purushotaman et al. (2012) and Martic et al. (2011) calculated the tumor volume by measuring the length, width and height of the tumor section. Results in mammary tissue tumor volume of research data using the method of Abbasalipourkabir et al. (2010) can be seen in Figure 2. As seen in Figure 2, increasing tumor volume happened in only DMBA treatment without propolis or nanopropolis treatments.
The propolis or nanopropolis treatments performed in rats decreased tumor volume. Even in the 32 and 56 µg/mL nanopropolis treatment and 233 µg/mL propolis treatment there were decline after an increase in tumor volume in the fifth week after being given treatment. When viewed from the point of decline, the injection of 56 µg/mL nanopropolis treatment had sharp corners, the tumor size reduction process occurred very quickly. This occurrence may be due to the relatively high dose (6 x IC₅₀) of the ingredients in nanopropolis that is sufficient to eliminate cancer cells. Influence of the amount of the active ingredient component in nanopropolis (32 and 56 µg/mL) was instrumental in comparison with the influence of the particle size of the component nanopropolis for the repair tissue from tumor to compare propolis at 56 µg/mL.

Nanopropolis treatment with the concentration of 8 µg/mL had been done to heal cell and to repair tissue, although there are tumor...
On treatment with a concentration of 32 µg/mL, formation of intact tissue had occurred to heal and subcutaneous fat was formed and there were presence of intact epidermal glands and many globular epitheliums as well as reversal of intact tumor draining wounds. Similarly, nanopropolis treatment at a concentration of 56 µg/mL occurred to heal much better than lower concentration of nanopropolis. This result showed that the amount of active ingredient content affected more to the healing rate of cancer. Figure 3 showed the result of administration of nanopropolis on rat mammary tissue (32 and 56 µg/mL) which had repaired skin tissue after being induced by DMBA.

The tissue conditions were better in nanopropolis concentration of 56 µg/mL (Fig. 4), the formation of new tissue was much more than giving nanopropolis at concentration of 8 and 32 µg/mL. This proved that the administration of nanopropolis increasingly played a role in mammary tissue wounds caused by DMBA induced tumor results. This result showed that the amount of active ingredient affected the healing rate of cancer. The greater the concentration of nanopropolis used, the greater the effect on tumor tissue healing wounds. The influence of different concentrations and time of administration of propolis against different cancer cells had also been studied by Bufalo et al. (2007). Presence of a tumor as a result of wound healing using propolis administration was the formation of epithelial tissues that had been studied by de Moura et al. (2011), although using different propolis. This condition was supported by the presence of components of propolis (Hasan et al. 2014) or mineral contents (Hasan et al. 2013).

At a concentration of 56 µg/mL the mammary alveoli were healthy and aesthetically clean. In Figure 4a it is seen the alveoli containing blood plasma (blue arrows pointing) as a result of mammary tissue repair. On the other rats groups (DMBA group or negative control) in which nanopropolis or propolis injection was not performed, mammary tissue condition was still going on angiogenesis and cancer cells enters blood vessels. In this condition, cancer cell
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Figure 3 The mammary tissue of virgin rat after being induced by DMBA and received injection treatment of a. nanopropolis (32 µg/mL) and b. nanopropolis (56 µg/mL) (blue arrow = skin epithelium, yellow arrow = normal hair follicles, white arrow = capillary epidermis) (HE staining, 200x)
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Figure 4 a) The mammary tissue of rats SD induced by DMBA and nanopropolis 56 mg/mL every seven days within two months, b) The mammary tissue of rats SD induced by DMBA and no treatment within two months after induction (black arrow = alveoli blue arrow = alveoli filled with blood plasma, white arrow = cancer cells, red arrow = connective tissue) (HE staining, 200x)
spread in so much connective tissue and almost every tissue as is shown in Figure 4b (cancer cells (white arrows) and connective tissue (red arrows)).

The physical condition of the mammary tissue healing can be experienced with drying occurs in an area that has suffered injury due to tumor after injection of nanopropolis 32 µg/mL, b) non treatment or only induction of DMBA, and c) condition before treatment after induction of DMBA for 90 days (yellow arrow = dry wound, white arrow = mammary swelling because of tumor).
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**Figure 5** a) The physical condition of the mammary tissue healing can be experienced with drying occurs in an area that has suffered injury due to tumor after injection of nanopropolis 32 µg/mL, b) non treatment or only induction of DMBA, and c) condition before treatment after induction of DMBA for 90 days (yellow arrow = dry wound, white arrow = mammary swelling because of tumor).
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**Figure 6** Virgin rat mammary tumor tissue after being induced by DMBA and treated with injection of (a) propolis (233 µg/mL) and (b) Without treatment (positive control) (blue arrow = skin epithelium, yellow arrow = normal hair follicle, white arrow = inflammation) (HE staining, 200x)

Tested activities of propolis curing cancer proved that a dosage of 233 µg/mL can heal damages caused by the tumor tissue compared with the positive control treatment without induction by DMBA treatment. Positive control (without induction by DMBA) does not cure cancer and even developed accumulation of cancer cells and tissue damage (Fig. 6). Inoue et al. (2008) showed that the inhibition of cancer growth occurred after propolis concentration of 320 µg/mL. Similarly, Bermúdez et al. (2006) reported that wound healing with 10% propolis only occur as much as 60% only for the occurrence of re-epithelialization. In-breast tissue repair can be seen around the damaged tissue with re-epithelialization (blue arrow) and hair follicles (yellow arrow) in Figure 6a. For the DMBA induced research, mammary wounds were formed in the mammary tissue and there were many cancer cells (Fig. 6b).

Figure 7 shows drying cancer cells condition with black spots on the new blood vessels, but there are still cancer cells expected to remain active. This is because the treatment required quite a long time, so that the healing process was still running and was still not finished. On treatment with propolis dosage of 233 µg/mL, the drying process indicated the healing in tissues affected by cancer.

At dosage of 32 µg/mL nanopropolis has the ability to cure cancer which has the same effect to as much as 233 µg/mL of propolis administration. The particle size in nanopropolis is very small, so active ingredient remaining in nanopropolis can log into the network with ease, while the dosage of propolis with 233 µg/mL which was active against tumor growth is caused
by the presence of the active components in propolis preventing tumor progression. This fact was caused by the presence of compounds in either nanopropolis or propolis, such as organic acids like firulic acid and caffeic acid, polyphenols and flavonoids in propolis which inhibit the proliferation of cancer cells. The role of flavonoids and caffeic acid is to inhibit the formation of protein kinases that are used for cell proliferation which result is going to inhibit cell formation process and induced apoptosis occurrence (Madeo et al. 2004). In accordance with the results of de Moura et al. (2011), the healing of wounds caused by the tumor may occur due to administration of propolis. Meanwhile, according to Sun et al. (2012) crysin components present in propolis can decrease the volume of tumor that occurs in the mammary tissue of DMBA induced mice. The research conducted by Bhattacharjee et al. (2012) and Lim et al. (2011) states that there is a relationship between particle size absorbent materials on the healing of cancer.

CONCLUSIONS

The effects of nanopropolis with dosage of 32 µg/mL and propolis with dosage of 233 µg/mL were the same in reducing tumor size, healing the wounds caused by the tumor and eliminating cancer cells. It turned out that there is a relationship between particle size absorbent materials on the healing of cancer. The study suggested that nanopropolis with small concentration (dosage of 32 µg/mL) was very effective for the treatment of rat mammary gland tumors and breast cancers.
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